

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Appln. No. 09/686,125
Amendment Dated: May 11, 2004
Reply to Office Action of January 12, 2004

REMARKS

This Amendment is in response to the Final Office action dated January 12, 2004, and the telephonic examiner interview with the Examiner on April 22, 2004. Applicants extend their appreciation for the opportunity provided by the Examiner to discuss this pending patent application. The amended claims presented for further examination herein include suggested changes and reflect certain comments provided during the interview.

The Examiner rejected previously presented claims 1-26 in the Final Office action. Applicants hereby cancel claims 12, 19-20 and 26, and hereby add independent claim 27. Claims 1-11, 13-18 and 21-27 are therefore pending.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the following remarks.

Rejection of Claims

Claims 1-20 and 24-29 are rejected in the Office action under 35 U.S.C. 102(e), or in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) based on Schwartz et al., US 5,327,559 [Schwartz].

Claims 21-23 are rejected in the Office action under 35 U.S.C. 103 over Schwartz in view of Jamtgaard et al., US 6,430,624 [Jamtgaard].

The cited Schwartz and Jamtgaard references neither disclose nor suggest the invention as presently claimed. For example, as described in independent claim 1, the recited conversion engine restructures a plurality of internal links in the content rendered on the mobile device. The restructured internal links are selectable on the mobile device to generate a second request for another content from a second network site without the conversion engine converting the second request to the second language. Moreover, Schwartz fails to describe or suggest a conversion engine that is in direct communication to a mobile device, or a conversion engine that restructures a plurality of input entries within the content into selectable links that can be rendered on the mobile

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Appln. No. 09/686,125
Amendment Dated: May 11, 2004
Reply to Office Action of January 12, 2004

device, wherein each of the selectable links on the mobile device can be selected to generate a subsequent request to the conversion engine for another content from a different network site.

Because independent claims 1, 14 and 27, and the corresponding claims dependent thereon, are believed to be allowable over the cited references of record thus far, allowance is respectfully requested for all pending claims

None of the cited references of record neither disclose nor suggest the invention as presently claimed when considered individually or in combination with one another. Accordingly, allowance of all pending claims is respectfully requested.

Appln. No. 09/686,125
Amendment Dated: May 11, 2004
Reply to Office Action of January 12, 2004

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

CONCLUSION

It is submitted that the present application is in form for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested. Should the Examiner have any questions, please contact the undersigned attorney.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, including petition fees and extension of time fees, to Deposit Account No. 23-2415 (Docket No. 24286-705).

Respectfully submitted,

By 
U.P. Peter Eng, Reg. No. 39,666

Dated: 5/11/04

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
650 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304
Customer No. 021971